Forensic Chemical Profiling of Hazardous Additives and Contaminants alongwith their harmful Effects & Source discrimination of seized Moonshine samples: A study on New Emerging Crisis in Punjab

Deepak Middha¹, Archna Negi², Meenu Kushwaha³

How to cite this article:

Deepak Middha, Archna Negi, Meenu Kushwaha/ Forensic Chemical Profiling of Hazardous Additives and Contaminants alongwith their harmful Effects & Source discrimination of seized Moonshine samples: A study on New Emerging Crisis in Punjab/J Forensic Chemistry Toxicol. 2023;9(1):19–45.

Abstract

Moonshine an illegally produced alcoholic beverage has caused several times "hooch tragedies" in various states of India. Punjab which is already facing Drug addiction hitch, has also not been untouched by hooch tragedies since 2020 when 120 people in Punjab died due to consumption of hooch. To curb hooch tragedies, Govt. of Punjab showed the very urgency for the inclusion of death penalty in Punjab & Excise Act, 1914. However, owing to shortfalls in quality control norms and non availability of a reliable facility to identify additives & contaminants in moonshine, avaricious manufacturers are still intentionally adding large number of hazardous additives and ignoring contaminants while manufacturing moonshine to make the brew strong and aromatic. More surprisingly, at present people are still considering methanol a root cause of hooch tragedies. Investigating agencies are more inquisitive to know the presence of methanol in seized samples to qualify them as 'unfit for drink'. It is crystal clear that merely the presence of methanol in any sample does not prove it lethal as it has also been studied that many alcoholic beverages contain methanol naturally at low level without causing harm. At present, a study on hazardous additives and contaminants in moonshine alongwith their harmful effects & source discrimination has not yet been reported. Henceforth, a forensic attempt is made to secure public health by chemically profiling various hazardous additives and contaminants detected in seized moonshine samples alongwith enlightening their harmful effects on body so as to make public aware of the hidden health hazards of moonshine. In this study, 31 samples of moonshine seized by Punjab Police from various districts of Punjab were extracted, sonicated and analysed by GCMS technique for the detection of hazardous additives and contaminants. For comparison & discrimination of source of these samples, grouping of detected chemicals was done using Principal Component analysis (PCA) a cluster analysis method. Our research outcome led to successful chemical profiling of 187 detected chemicals and among these 187 chemical compounds, 73 chemical compounds were found

Author Affiliation: ¹Deputy Director, ²Junior Scientific Officer, (Chemistry), ³Forensic Professional, Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Directorate of Forensic Science Services, Government of India, Chandigarh 160036, Punjab, India.

Corresponding Author: Archna Negi, Junior Scientific Officer (Chemistry), Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Directorate of Forensic Science Services, Government of India, Chandigarh 160036, Punjab, India.

E-mail: archnaforensic@yahoo.com

Accepted on: 20.02.2023

hazardous as these can cause severe health problems viz. eye, nose & throat irritation, inflammation to monocytes, birth defects, CNS disorder, cancer & death. Hence through this research an effort is made to secure public health and to alert govt. agencies regarding these health hazardous additives and contaminants.

Keywords: Moonshine; Hooch; Forensic; Additives; Contaminants, Death; hazardous; Public health etc.

Received on: 06.02.2023



INTRODUCTION

A looholic beverages have been used in Indian societies since the Vedic era (1500-700 BCE). It can be produced locally or industrially with the available resources across the world. The raw materizals generally used for the production of alcohol are fruits, palm wine, sugar cane etc. and the basic method used for its production is fermentation & simple distillation. At present alcohol is all pervasive and the mode of its intake has been constantly evolving. In India, alcohol consumption is widespread across all the states & the union territories and an estimated 160 million people consume alcohol.¹ The Indian Govt. has made multiple efforts to limit the availability of alcohol in pursuit of various public health objectives. But the problem does not cease here as most of the bootleggers are selling "moonshine" also known as 'hooch', a cheap alcoholic drink manufactured in small unregulated shanties to the poor population and in states that have imposed a full ban on liquor.

It is evident that the presence of methanol 'a toxic alcohol' in hooch has caused several times "hooch tragedies" in village population and urban poor in several states of India. A bar chart showing mortality v/s states due to hooch tragedies in India since 2008 to 2020 is depicted in fig. 1.

Mortality v/s States

Surprisingly, it has been observed that in the last



Fig. 1: Bar chart depicting Mortality due to hooch tragedies in various states of India.

few years, Punjab is disgraced having rise of drug addled persons especially youth. It has also not been untouched by hooch tragedies and has been facing new crisis "Moonshine illicit liquor". Most of the drug peddlers in Punjab have changed their business from drug smuggling to "bootlegging". Such mafias have no longer apprehension for prosecution and are now more intrepid in adding large number of harmful adulterants to make brew stronger i.e. lizard skins, alprazolam tablets and Iodex.² The year, 2020 was the pandemic year for the people of Punjab when 120 people in the districts of Amritsar, Gurdaspur and Tarn Taran died due to consumption of hooch. To occlude this, Punjab police have raided different places in Punjab and large amount of hooch was seized. This incident trembled the Govt. of Punjab and showed the very urgency for the inclusion of *death* penalty³ in Punjab & Excise Act, 1914 in the year 2021 to curb hooch tragedies. Besides, the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court ordered an ironhand approach for dealing these cases⁴; Punjab police added Section 302 (murder) of IPC in cases

against all the kingpins of hooch tragedies and Punjab Excise Commissioner issued guidelines for transportation of Ethyl Neutral Alcohol, Ethanol, Specially Denatured Spirit, Denatured Spirits, and Rectified Spirit. Despites tightening its noose against bootleggers, the cases of hooch tragedy have not been ceased in Punjab. However, owing to shortfalls in quality control norms and non availability of a reliable facility to identify additives & contaminants in moonshine, avaricious manufacturers are still intentionally adding large number of hazardous additives and ignoring contaminants while manufacturing moonshine to make the brew strong and aromatic. These hazardous additives includes organic solvents & flavouring chemicals and pesticides like contaminants. The intake of organic solvents can cause severe health problems viz. eye, nose & throat irritation, CNS disorder, seizures & death.⁵ Flavouring additives can cause inflammation to monocytes, cancer, birth defects, neurotoxic, CNS disorder & allergic reactions.6 Pesticides additives have effect on reproduction, immune or nervous system, can cause cancer.7 It



has also been studied that the solution obtained after distillation with a minimum ethanol content contains trace amount of methanol, esters, aldehydes, higher alcohols, acetates, acetic acid and fusel oil. Like methanol, some of these chemical compounds are also harmful. More surprisingly, at present people are still considering methanol a root cause of hooch tragedies. Investigating agencies are more inquisitive to know the presence of methanol in seized samples to qualify them as moonshine 'unfit for drink'. It is crystal clear that merely the presence of methanol in any sample does not prove it lethal as it has also been proven that many alcoholic beverages contain methanol naturally at low level without causing harm.8 Its maximum legal concentration in different alcoholic beverages has already been defined by Food Safety and Standards (Alcoholic Beverages) Regulations, 2018. Till date only determination of methanol and its percentage in moonshine have been published so far. Several methods like spectroscopic, colorimetric etc. have been used for determination of methanol content in alcoholic drinks.9-11 A study on hazardous additives and contaminants in moonshine alongwith their harmful Effects and source discrimination has not yet been reported.

Henceforth, a forensic attempt is made to secure public health by chemically profiling various hazardous additives and contaminants detected in seized moonshine samples alongwith enlightening their harmful effects on body so as to make public aware of the hidden health hazards of moonshine. In this study, 31 samples of moonshine were subjected to forensic chemical analysis. These samples were seized during raids conducted in different parts in Punjab by Punjab police under Excise Act and were submitted at Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Chandigarh, for the detection of Methanol. Various laboratory examinations such as Physical tests, Chemical tests, Gas Chromatography analysis and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometric analysis (GC-MS) were carried out with these samples. Ethanol was detected in 05 seized samples while Methanol was detected in 24 seized samples. The percentage of ethanol and methanol was determined using specific gravity and calibration graph method respectively. Apart from this, 187 additives including contaminants were identified by mass spectral chemical profiling. For comparison and discrimination of source of these samples, grouping of 187 detected chemicals was done using *Principal Component analysis (PCA)* a cluster analysis method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this research paper, thirty one (31) seized samples of various moonshine samples were subjected to forensic chemical analysis. These samples were seized (under Excise Act) during a regular search in various district of Punjab u/s 61/78(2) Punjab Excise Act,1914 & 420/468/471 IPC 1860 by Punjab Police and submitted at Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Chandigarh for chemical analysis. All of the submitted plastic nips (quarters) were found without printed label of any brand.

The representative samples taken from 31 seized moonshine samples were tested for the detection of ethanol, methanol, copper, iron & furfural. Specific gravity method was used for estimating ethanol percentage and calibration graph method was used for methanol concentration. For complete chemical profiling of hazardous additives and contaminants in representative samples of seized moonshine samples were then analyzed by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) technique.

The Solvent used for extraction was of LC grade (Merck, German).



Fig. 2: Samples sized from various district of Punjab

Journal of Forensic Chemistry and Toxicology / Volume 9 Number 1/ January - June 2023



Extraction of seized moonshine samples for additives and contaminants

10 ml of each seized samples were extracted three times with 10 ml chloroform by liquid-liquid extraction procedure. These chloroform extracts were combined in china dish, was concentrated and stored at 40° C.

Equipment

Shimadzu Nexis GC2030 coupled with Shimadzu QP2020 NX MS and Shimadzu autosampler AOC-20i Plus were used.

INSTRUMENTATION CONDITIONS

Shimadzu, QP-2020NX

Shimadzu Nexis GC2030 coupled with Shimadzu QP2020 NX MS and Shimadzu autosampler AOC-20i Plus were used for chemical profiling. The GCcolumn was a 30m SH-Rxi-5Sil MS with 0.25 mm I.D. & 0.25µm film thickness. Helium was used as a carrier gas at a constant flow of 1 ml/min. Splitless injection was used with a splitless time of 60s. The injector & interface line temperature were held at

Table 1: Tests performed with seized samples

 250° C & 330° C respectively. Oven initial temperature was set at 60° C for 2 minute; increased to 80° C at the rate of 6° C/min & hold at this temperature for 4 minutes and then increased to 250° C at the rate of 10° C & held at this temperature for 2 min.

The MSD conditions: - Ionisation energy 70 eV, ion source temperature 200°C, mass range 50-500 amu, electron multiplier voltage (Auto tune + 200V).

Sample injection volume: - 1 µl.

Compound Identification.

MS Real Time Analysis software was used for data acquisition & processing and the result(s) were scrutinized via MS-library of National Institute of Standard and Technology..

RESULTS

Various laboratory examinations such as Physical tests, Chemical tests and Specific gravity measurements were carried out with the 31 seized samples and are summerised in Table 1.

In addition to physical and chemical analysis, percentage of ethanol was calculated in sample 27

	Physical Tests			Chemical Tests				
Sample No.	Volume (approx)	Colour	Odour/ Aroma	Iodoform test for Ethanol	Dichromate test for Ethanol	Aniline test for Furfural	Chromo- tropic acid test for Methanol	Potassium ferrocyanide test for Copper and Iron
1 to 24	Each 180 ml	all having colourless liquid	all having pungent odour	-VE in all samples	-VE in all samples	-VE in all samples	+VE in all samples	-VE in all samples
25	180 ml	Colorless liquid	Sweet aroma	-VE	-VE	-VE	-VE	-VE
26	180 ml	Yellow coloured liquid	Sweet fruity aroma	-VE	-VE	-VE	-VE	-VE
27	180 ml	Caramel coloured liquid	Sweet fruity aroma	+VE	+VE	-VE	-VE	-VE
28	180 ml	Light yellowish liquid comprising with oil type suspension	Sweet fruity aroma	+VE	+VE	-VE	-VE	-VE
29	180 ml	Slight yellowish liquid comprising two immiscible liquid layers.	Sweet fruity aroma	+VE	+VE	-VE	-VE	-VE
30	180 ml	Dark brown coloured thick viscous material	Burnt molasses odour	+VE	+VE	-VE	-VE	-VE
31	180 ml	Dark brown coloured thick liquid	odour alike of medicinal syrup	+VE	+VE	-VE	-VE	-VE



to 29 using specific gravity method, methanol was quantified in sample 1 to 24 by calibration graph method using GC technique and for identification of various hazardous compounds, chloroform extracts of seized moonshine samples were analyzed by GC-MS technique. The percentage of methanol in Exhibit-1 to Exhibit-24 was found as 0.77, 0.81, 0.93, 0.69, 0.57, 0.8, 0.77, 0.77, 0.77, 0.77, 0.79, 0.78, 0.76, 0.77, 0.8, 0.77, 0.78, 0.73, 0.76, 0.75, 0.82, 0.78, 0.81, 0.83 respectively; percentage of ethanol in Exhibit-27 to Exhibit 29 was found as 0.07, 0.26 & 0.07 respectively (ethanol detected in traces in Exhibit 30 & Exhibit 31) and 187 chemical compounds were detected and identified in seized sample 1 to 31. These detected chemicals according to their nature were categorized as Phytochemical compounds; Pyrolysis byproducts; Fermentation

byproducts; Pesticides; Organic solvent; Flavouring substances/ Essential oils & Antioxidant compound. The resulting total ion chromatograms (TICs) are depicted in Fig. 3 the detected chemicals are tabulated alongwith Rt & molecular structure in table 2.

Grouping study by statistical methods using identification of chemicals detected in seized moonshine's samples

For comparison and discrimination of source of these detected chemicals, grouping was done using cluster analysis methods namely *Principal Component analysis (PCA)* as depicted in Fig. 4.

DISCUSSION

S.No.	Chemical compounds	Exhibits	Molecular structure	Rt
1.		Phytochemical	l compounds	
I.	Heterocyclic compound			
(i)	1,3,5,7-Tetroxane	4, 6, 9 to12, 14 to 18 and 20 to 24.	° °	2.155, 2.910, 2.865, 2.130, 2.42, 2.750, 2.045, 2.880, 2.045, 2.22, 2.110, 2.045, 2.605, 2.405, 2.930, 2.020, 2.515
(ii)	2H-Pyran,2-(2,5-hexadiynyloxy) tetrahydro	13, 17 and 18		1.770, 1.665, 1.650
(iii)	3-Dodecyl-2,5-furandione	26		26.67
(iv)	Furan, tetrahydro-2,4-bis(4- methoxyphenyl)-3,5-dimethyl	26	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	17.955
(v)	4H-Pyran-4-one,2,3-dihydro-3,5- dihydroxy-6-methyl	30	OH OH	12.490

Table 2: Chemical profiling of chemical compounds detected compounds in seized moonshine samples.



II.	Bicyclic compound			
(1)	Thujene	28		6.725
	Monosaccharide			
(a)	Aldohexoses			
(i)	D-Allose	30	но	19.435
			но он он	
(ii)	3-O-Methyl-d-glucose	30	но	16.140
			OCH3	
			но он	
IV.	Hydroxy Ketone/ Hydroxyacetone/ A	cetol		
(i)	Furyl Hydroxymethyl ketone	30	ОН	10.430
			$\bigcirc \rightarrow \checkmark$	
			0 0	
2.	Chemical compounds formed duri	ng pyrolysis of carbohyd	lrates, such as starch and	d cellulose
a.	Cyclic Ketonexoses			
(i)	1,6-AnhydrobetaDglucofuranose/ Levoglucosan (furanose)	30 & 31	ОН	20.920 & 20.730
)		о С тон	
0		e	ОH	
3. T	Nitrogen compounds formed during	iermentation		
ı. a.	Amine: Amines occur in liquor due to	o the biochemical degradat	tion of amino acids, which	n may begin during malting and
	then continues during fermentation.	o the prochemical acgradation	tion of uninto uclus, which	i muy segin during mutung und
(i)	O-Ethylhydroxylamine	1, 4 to 7, 9 to 12, 14 to	H ₃ C	2.345, 1.82, 2.955, 3.09, 2.93,
		22 and 24.	o NH₂	2.385, 2.56, 2.055, 2.625, 2.235, 2.31, 2.45, 2.485, 3.005, 2.555,
			_	2.495, 2.825, 2.010, 2.170
(ii)	Methanamine N-methoxy	1, 2, 8, 15, 20 and 22 to 24 & 31	. 0	4.495, 1.62, 1.905, 1.940, 1.945, 2 095, 2 480, 3 710, 13, 500
			NH	2.000, 2.100, 0.110, 10, 000
(iii)	Methanamine, N-hydroxy- N methyl / N N	20, 22 and 23.	H ₃ C \ N < CH ₃	2.375,2.39, 2.35
	Dimethylhydroxylamine		Г ОН	
п	Amino alcohol		on	
(i)	Serinol/aminoglycerin/	23		3.840
.,	2-amino-1,3-propanediol		NH ₂	
III	Sugar alcohol			
(i)	Erythritol	1, 2 and 4 to 24	ОН	4.630, 4.790, 5.12, 13.55, 4.72,
			UO OH	4.57, 4.615, 4.845, 4.695, 4.975, 4.605, 4.575, 3.52, 4.925, 5.205,
			NU ANN	4.9, 4.970, 4.650, 4.460, 4.87,
			011	5.770, 4.820, 5.020 , 4.720
(ii)	Xylitol	7	CH₂OH	3.300
			н—он но—н	
			н—он	
			ĊH₂OH	





(ii)	Undecanal	28	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₈ CH ₂ H	16.050
(iii)	Hexadecanal	1 to 4 and 19	О II CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₄ —С—Н	23.115, 23.115, 23.12, 23.12, 23.115, 23.130
(iv)	7-Hexadecenal (Z)	2, 3, 7,8, 10, 16 and 19	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	22.865, 23.83, 25.085, 22.865, 27.385, 25.105 & 25.08
(v)	9-Hexadecenal(Z)	2 & 28	°	26.500
(vi)	E-Hexadec-2-enal/ trans-2-Hexadecenal	8 and 20		17.025, 17.055
(vii)	Glyceraldehyde	10, 15, 20 and 22 to 24 & 30	но ОН	2.53, 1.655, 3.405, 2.295, 2.540, 5.680, 1.905
(viii)	Myristaldehyde	2	$\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim_0$	20.645
(ix)	Benzaldehyde, 4-chloro	1		15.195
(x)	9-Octadecenal	1, 8 and 19.		23.83, 23.825, 24.005
(xi)	9-Tetradecenal	1 and 3	i, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	26.820 & 27.365
(xii)	2-Decenal(E)-/ trans-2-Decenal	19	H ₃ C	15.14
(xiii)	Pentadecanal	2, 8 and 20	О СН ₃ (СН ₂₎₁₃ —С—Н	21.915, 21.915 & 25.325
(xiv)	Dodecanal/ Lauraldehyde	28	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₉ CH ₂ H	17.745



)	
(xv)	5-Acetoxymethyl-2-furaldehyde	30	Н3С ОСССИН	15.995
(xvi)	5-Hydroxymethylfurfural / HMF	30 & 31	н от он	14.745 & 14.490
VII.	Ketone			
(i)	Methyl tridecyl ketone/ Pentadecan-2-one	2	O H ₃ C CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₁₁ CH ₃	21.705
(ii)	Linoleyl methyl ketone	3, 4 and 19		25.665, 25.665 & 25.730
(iii)	Hexanophenone	2	CH ₃	18.585
VIII.	Acids/volatile acids			
(i)	Oleic acid/ 9-Octadecenoic acid(Z)	1 to 21 & 30	ОН	26.485, 26.7, 26.74, 26.59, 24.745, 26.57, 26.595, 26.595, 26.545, 26.57, 26.555, 26.595, 24.77, 26.6, 26.585, 26.615, 26.64, 26.670, 26.625, 26.630, 26.74, 26.410
(ii)	Elaidic acid / 9-octadecenoic acid(E)	1 & 2		26.53 & 26.7
(iii)	Acetic acid	1 & 23	сн3 он	4.825 & 2.075
(iv)	Dodcanoic acid	2	но СН1	20.015
(v)	Tetradecanoic acid	2	нодолого	22.495
(vi)	Z-11-tetradecenoic acid	2	Jan Starter and Starte	22.365
(vii)	Pentadecanoic acid	2	лан Сан	23.62
(viii)	n-Hexadecanoic acid	1, 2, 29 & 30	HOL	24.665, 24.83, 24.735 & 27.67
(ix)	9-Hexadecenoic acid,(Z)/ Palmitoleic acid	2	С	24.565



	5	0.0)	
(x)	Octadecanoic acid	1	О СН ₃ (СН ₂₎₁₅ СН ₂ ОН	26.72
IX.	Phenolic compound			
(i)	p-Ethylphenol	31	CH ₂ CH ₃	13.135
(ii)	2-Methoxyhydroquinone	30	но осна	15.820
X	Furans			
(i)	Furfuryl alcohol	30	ОН	4.150
(ii)	2,4-Dihydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)- furanone	30	Me OH HO O	6.765
XI.	Ester: These are formed by the reactions	of organic acids a	nd alcohols created during ferme	entation.
a.	Acetate ester			
(i)	Linoleyl acetate	3, 4 & 9		25.065, 25.065 & 25.05
(ii)	7-Dodecen-1-yl-acetate	2 & 8.	0 HgC ^L 0 O CH3	21.355 & 21.36
(iii)	Isopentyl alcohol, acetate/ Isoamyl acetate	27	0 СН ₃ Н ₃ С О СН ₃	4.615
b.	Propionate ester			
(i)	Isopentyl alcohol, propionate/ Isoamyl propionate	27		6.645
c.	Glycolate ester			
(i)	Propyl glycolate	3	H ₃ C ^O OH	2.01
d.	Fatty acid methyl ester			

ιy

- (i) Methyl tetradecanoate/ Myristic acid, 2 and 3 methyl ester /Tetradecanoic acid, methyl ester
- (ii) Methyl Palmitoleate/ 9-Hexadecanoic 2, 3 and 8 acid, methyl ester (Z)

24.055, 24.06 & 24.05

22.0 & 22.01

(CH 2) 12

0 0

CH3

. _ _ //e



(iii)	Palmitic acid, methyl ester/ Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	1 to 4, 8, 10 and 19.	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₃ CH ₂ OCH ₃	24.28, 24.28, 24.28, 24.28, 24.28, 24.28, 24.285 & 24.280
(iv)	13-Docosenoic acid, methyl ester/ methyl, 13-docosenoate	1, 3, 4, 7 and 8.	CHillCHillCHill CHill CH	25.96, 25.95, 25.945, 25.95 & 25.945
(v)	6-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester (Z)	1	n Q	25.33
(vi)	9-Octadecenoic acid methyl ester (E)/ Methyl elaidate	1 to 4, 6, 8 to 14, 17 and 19 to 21		26.1, 26.105, 26.1, 26.1, 26.1, 26.15, 26.1, 26.11, 26.115, 26.125, 26.115, 26.115, 26.11, 26.105, 26.100, 26.110
(vii)	9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methyl ester/ Methyl linoleate	2 to 4, 8, 9 and 15	COOCH ₃	26.035, 26.035, 26.035, 26.03, 26.98 & 26.985
(viii)	Methyl stearate/ Octadecanoic acid, methyl ester	2 to 4, 8 and 17	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₅ CH ₂ OCH ₃	26.345, 26.345, 26.345, 26.34 & 26.36
(ix)	Cis-9,10-Methyleneoctadecanoic acid, methyl ester	3		25.95
(x)	Dodecanoic acid, methyl ester/ Metholene 2296	27	COOCH3	19.405
e.	Fatty acid ethyl ester			
(i)	Myristic acid, ethyl ester/ Ethyl myristate	27	CH4(CH2)+CH2	22.795
(ii)	Dodecanoic acid, ethyl ester/ Ethyl laurate	27		20.355
(iii)	Ethyl butanoate /Butanoic acid, ethyl ester	26 & 27	щ • • • • • ↓ Щ	3.075 & 3.165
(iv)	Ethyl caprate/ Decanoic acid, ethyl ester	27	О Ц СН ₃ (СН ₂₎₇ СН ₂ О́СН ₃	17.5



(v)	Ethyl caprylate/ Octanoic acid, ethyl ester	27	0	13.775
			CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₅ CH ₂ 0 CH ₃	
(vi)	Ethyl enanthate/ Heptanoic acid, ethyl ester	27		11.085
f.	Fatty acid glycidal ester			
(i)	9-octadecenoic acid(Z)-oxiranylmethyl ester/ glycidol oleate/ glcidyl octadecenoate/ oleic acid, glycidyl ester	1 to 6, 8 to 14, 17 and 20		25.225, 28.02, 25.225, 25.215, 25.215, 25.215, 25.205, 25.22, 25.21, 25.21, 25.215, 25.23, 25.22, 25.22, 25.23, 25.20
(ii)	Tetradecanoic acid, 2-oxiranylmethyl ester/ Myristic acid glycidyl ester	4, 5 and 8	O (CH ₂) ₁₂ Me	25.875, 25.89 & 25.895
(iii)	Hexadecanoic acid, 2-oxiranylmethyl ester/ Glycidyl palmitate/ Oxiran-2- ylmethyl palmitate	3, 4, 6, 8 and 13	0 (CH ₂) ₁₃ Me	25.875, 28.235, 28.235, 28.235 & 25.895
g.	Fatty acid glycerol ester			
(i)	Hexadecanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-1- (hydroxymethyl) ethyl ester/ Palmitin, 2-mono	3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13,14, 17, 19, 20	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	27.66, 27.66, 27.665, 27.67, 27.66, 27.680, 27.67, 27.69, 27.675, 27.66 & 27.65
(ii)	9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-,2,3- dihydroxypropyl ester/ Glyceryl monooleate	3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 14, 17, 19	ОНОН	23.67, 23.575, 27.445, 23.67, 23.68, 23.67, 23.68, 23.69, 23.695, 23.695 & 23.69
(iii)	Tetradecanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-1- (hydroxymethyl) ethyl ester/ Myristin, 2-mono	4	⁰ ر ⁰	25.55
(iv)	9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, 2-hydroxy-1-(hydroxymethyl) ethyl ester/ Linolein, 2-mono	3 & 4	0~~0 0 0	23.395 & 23.44
(v)	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, 2,3 dihydroxypropyl ester/ Alpha-glyceryl linoleate	3		23.44
(vi)	9-Octadecenoic acid, 1,2,3-propanetriyl ester, (E,E,E)/ glycerine trioleate	4 & 5		24.555 & 26.58

Journal of Forensic Chemistry and Toxicology / Volume 9 Number 1/ January - June 2023



h. (i)	Fatty acid propyl ester Dodecanoic acid, propyl ester/ Propyl decanoate	21		4.900
i.	Fatty acid butyl ester			
(i)	Oleic acid, butyl ester	19	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1.495
(ii)	Butyl heptanoate/ Heptanoic acid, butyl ester	27	0 H ₃ C CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₄ CH ₃	15.685
(iii)	Butyl ethanoate/ acetic acid, butyl ester	27		3.42
j.	Fatty acid vinyl ester			
(i)	Palmitic acid vinyl ester/ Hexadecanoic acid ethenyl ester	2 to 4	О II CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₄ —С-ОСН—СН ₂	27.6, 27.58 & 27.58
k.	Fatty acid benzyl ester			
(i)	Acetic acid,phenylmethyl ester / Benzyl ethanoate / Benzyl acetate	19 & 27		12.93 & 12.945
(ii)	Benzyl Benzoate	1, 25 & 26		22.665, 23.8 & 22.65
(iii)	Octadecanoic acid, phenylmethyl ester/ Benzyl stearate	27		27.815
L	Fatty acid isobutyl ester		v	
(i)	Formic acid, 2-methylpropyl ester	23	H ₃ C	1.685
m.	Fatty acid isoamyl ester			
(i)	Pentadecanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester/ Isopentyl decanoate	27		21.01
(ii)	Dodecanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester/ Isopentyl laurate	27		23.385
(iii)	Tetradecanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester/ 3-Methylbutyl tetradecanoate	27		25.505



(iv)	Hexadecanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester/ 3-Methylbutyl hexadecanoate	27		27.555
(v)	Heptanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester/ Isopentyl heptanoate	27	H ₄ CCH ₂	16.745
(vi)	Octanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester/ Isoamyl caprylate	27	0 CH ₃ CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₅ CH ₂ 0 CH ₃	118.3
(vii)	Isoamyl formate	27		3.005
n.	Fatty acid sabinyl acetate			
(i)	Sabinyl linoleate/ Linoleic acid, sabinyl acetate	26		23.655
0.	Fatty acid monohydroxy propyl ester			
(i)	Octadecanoic acid, 3-hydroxypropyl ester	26		27.79
р.	Fatty acid monohydroxy aryloxy ester			
(i)	tert-Butyl 3-hydroxy-3-(4- methoxyphenyl) propanoate/ Propanoic acid, 3-hydroxy-3-(4- methoxyphenyl)-,t-butyl ester	25 & 26	N,C, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	19.155 & 22.965
q.	Keto acids benzyl ester			
(i)	Acetoacetic acid, 3-thio-,benzyl ester/ Benzyl 3-thioxobutanoate	26	o o o	12.43
r.	Cyclic ester			
(i)	deltaCaprolactone	27	H ₃ C O O	3.615
(ii)	3-deoxy-d-mannoic lactone	30	но или.	21.42
XII.	ACETALS			
(i)	1,3-Dioxane, 2-methyl	25		2.020
(ii)	1,3-Dioxolane, 4-methyl-2-pentadecyl	26	Ľ	18.875
(iii)	1,3-Dioxane, 2-pentadecyl	26		26.01
			$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}$	



		- 0 0 -	, -	
(iv)	1,3-Dioxolane, 2-heptyl-4-methyl	28 & 29	5	17.350 & 17.335
	Isouolareldobudo diboneul contol	77	\sim	21.76
(v)	isovaleraldenyde dibenzyl acetal	21	J.C G	21.76
(vi)	Benzaldehyde propylene glycol acetal/ 1,3-Dioxolane, 4-methyl-2-phenyl	27	CH3	15.285
(vii)	1,3-Dioxolane-2,2-diethanol	26	Сохон	28.22
(viii)	4-[2-(2,4-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl) ethyl]phenol/ 4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-2- butanone propyleneglycol	26		22.77
VIII	Sulphur compound			
(i)	Formaldehyde dimethyl mercaptal S-oxide	1, 2 and 4 to 24	O H₃C ^S SCH₃	1.665, 3.325, 2.045, 2.18, 2.02, 1.97, 1.645, 1.66, 2.05, 1.59, 2.275, 2.475, 2.945, 2.715, 1.58, 2.23,1.99, 4.8, 1.875, 1.690, 1.875, 1.570 & 1.585
4.	Pesticides/contaminants			
I.	Nitrogen compounds			
a.	Nitro: Pesticides/fuel additive/ agricultur	ral products/explosive		
(i)	Methane nitro	1 to 24 & 31	CH ₃ NO ₂	2.22, 1.545, 3.895, 1.915, 1.805 , 1.88, 2.055, 1.565, 2.2, 1.875, 2.105, 1.86, 2.35, 1.605, 1.59, 1.925, 1.970, 1.955, 1.780, 1.770, 1.765, 1.740, 1.765, 2.035 & 2.035
(ii)	Anisole, o-nitro/ Benzene, 1-methoxy- 2-nitro/ o-Nitrophenyl methyl ether	16	NO ₂ OCH ₃	3.790
b.	Nitrile-agricultural products/pesticides			
(i)	Pentadecanenitrile	1	(CH ₂) ₁₃ -C=N	22.88
(ii)	Heptadecanenitrile	1		25.125
c.	Amide- Fungicides/ Herbicides (contamina	uts)		
(i)	Ethylenthiourea	10 to 12, 18 and 20	HNNH	5.520, 5.735, 5.425, 5.485 & 2.76



(ii)	Metobromuron/ Urea,N-(4-bromophenyl)-N-methoxy- N-methyl	13 and 20	Br N H O CH ₃	3.775 & 2.76
II.	Organofluorine compound: Rodenticid	e (contaminant)		
(i)	Acetic acid, fluoro-, ethyl ester/ Ethyl monofluoroacetate/ Ethyl fluoroacetate	1, 3, 5 to 7, 9 to 14, 16, 17, 19 to 21 and 23	FCH ₃	11.625, 4.995, 3.645, 17.135, 4.78, 2.505, 7.135, 3.58, 7.03, 3.685, 3.135, 3.705, 2.71, 4.245, 16.545, 5.18, 5.280
(ii)	Acetamide, 2-fluoro	5	F NH ₂	7.375
III.	Organochlorine compound (Pesticides/	Agrochemicals)		
(i)	Methyl chloroacetate/ Acetic acid, chloro-, methyl ester	27		10.090
(ii)	Ethene,1,2-dichloro-,(E)/Trans-Di-1,2- Chloroethylene	30		3.795
(iii)	Carbonochloridic acid, ethyl ester/ Cathyl chloride/ Formic acid, chloro-, ethyl ester	30 & 31		1.405 1.385
IV.	Organophosphorus compound (pesticio	le)		
(i)	Trimethylphosphine	28, 29 & 31	СН₃ Н₃С ^{- Р} ∼СН₃	2.615, 2.720, 2.905
V.	Morpholine compound (Fungicide)			
(i)	Tridemorph	30	CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₁₁ CH ₃	10.525
VI.	Oxazolidinones (Antimicrobials)			
(i)	2- Oxazolidinone	28		17.550
(ii)	N-Nitroso-2,4,4-trimethyloxazolidine	30	~1 <u>~</u>	16.275
5.	Organic Solvent (Health hazardous che	emical compounds)		
I.	Glycol ether			
(i)	Carbitol/ Diethylene Glycol ethyl ether/ Ethanol, 2-(2-ethoxyethoxy)	25	H0~~0~CH3	11.165
(ii)	Diethyl carbitol	25	H3C~O~O~CH3	11.41



(iii)	Tetraethylene glycol, monoethyl ether	25	H ₃ C $\left[O \right]_{4}^{OH}$	12.78,
(iv)	Ethane, 1,2-bis(benzyloxy)/ Ethylene Glycol Dibenzyl Ether/ Dibenzyl Glycol	25	Chrochrochroch	24.82
(v)	Dipropylene glycol, butyl ether	28	H ₃ C ОС ₃ H ₆ OC ₃ H ₆ OH	11.650
(vi)	Ethylethylene glycol	28 and 29	HC O OH	6.275 & 10.030
(vii)	Polypropylene glycol/ 1-Propanol, 2-(2-hydroxy propoxy)	29	, н _{†0} , ⊂н₃ , он	10.605 & 10.715
6.	Flavouring substances/ Essential oils/Te	rpenoids		
I.	Ether			
(i)	Eucalyptol	25	CH ₃ O H ₃ C	8.655
(ii)	Anethole	1 and 8 and 25	H ₃ CO	15. 695, 15.68 & 16.52
II.	Aldehyde			
(i)	p-Anisaldehyde	25	H ₃ C ₀ H	13.71
(ii)	Citronellal	28		12.650
(iii)	Citral	28 and 29		15.220, 15.180
(iv)	Neral	28 and 29	CH3	14.605 & 14.680
(v)	Caprylaldehyde/ Octanal	8 & 28	H ₃ C ² ² CH ₃	7.7007
III.	Hydrocarbon			
(i)	Cis-Calamenene	25		17.525
(ii)	D-Limonene	26, 28 & 29	H ₃ C ^C CH.	10.12, 8.640 & 8.795



(iii)	(E)betaFarnesene	26	H_3C H_3C H_2C H_2 H_2C H_2 H_2C H_2	21.705
(iv)	alpha Farnesene	26	H ₃ C CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₂	22.36
(v)	Copaene	26	H H H	17.305
(vi)	Limonene	27	H ₃ C CH ₂	8.530
(vii)	2-Pinene	28	H ₃ C CH ₃	5.675
(viii)	Myrcene/ beta-Myrcene	28 and 29	H ₃ C CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂	7.075 & 7.090
(ix)	Sabinene	28	H_3C CH_2 CH_3	6.725
(x)	n-Hexane	28 and 29		
IV.	Phenolic compound			
(i)	Eugenol	26 & 31	H ₂ C OH	16.94 & 16.855
(ii)	o-Guaiacol/o-Methoxyphenol	31	H ₂ N OCH ₃	10.645
(iii)	Creosol	31		13.595
V.	Ketone			
(i)	Nootkatone	26	H ₃ C CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂	23.17
(ii)	Sabinone	29		14.455
(iii)	D-Carvone	29		14.825



(iv)	1-Propanone, 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)/ Propiophenone,4-methoxy/ p-Methoxy propiophenone	25	CH ₃	18.510
(v)	2-Butanone, 4-(4-hydroxyphenyl) / raspberry Ketone	26	H ₃ CO	20.07
VI.	Epoxide			
(i)	Limonene oxide, trans	26		20.205
VII.	Acids			
(i)	n-Heptoic acid	27	H ₃ C	11.81
(ii)	Oleic acid	26,27 & 30		26.470, 26.525 & 26.410
(iii)	n-Hexadecanoic acid/Palmitic acid	26, 27, 29 & 30		24.675, 24.700, 24.615 & 24.600
(iv)	D-Campholic acid	29	НО О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О	18.725
(v)	Octanoic acid	29, 30 & 31	ОН	13.685, 13.285 &13.305
VIII.	Thienyl			
(i)	Ethyl-2-thienylketone	30		12.645
IX.	Cyclic vicinal glycol			
(i)	1,2-Cyclohexanediol,1-methyl-4-(1- methylethenyl)/Limonene glycol	26 & 29	H ₃ C OH H ₂ C OH ₃	16.87 & 16.995
(ii)	Isocarvomenthol,1-hydroxy/ p-Menthane-1,2-diol	26	HO	21.115



Х.	Keto acid			
(i)	3-Methyllevulinic acid/ Pentanoic acid, 3-methyl-4-oxo	27		1.685
XI.	Acetate ester			
(i)	Acetic acid, geraniol ester/ Geranyl acetate	28	CH ₃ CH ₃ O H ₃ C	17.195
XII.	Fatty acid geranyl ester			
(i)	Geraniol butyrate/ Geranyl butyrate	28		16.900
XIII.	Allyl Ester			
(i)	Adipic acid, dially ester	30	CH2 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	20.425
XIV.	Alcohol			
(i)	Linalool	28 & 29	H ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂	10.745 & 10.465
(ii)	alpha-Terpineol	28 & 29		13.335 & 13.865
(iii)	8-Hydroxylinalool		но	14.00
(iv)	Benzenemethanol/ Benzyl alcohol	31	ОН	8.730
XV.	Hydroxypyranone			
(i)	Maltol	30	ОН ОСН3	11.430
7.	Antioxidant compound			
(i)	2-tert-Butyl-4-methoxyphenol/ 2-BHA	29	H ₃ C H ₃ C H ₀ CH ₃ CH ₃	18.955







Deepak Middha, Archna Negi, Meenu Kushwaha/ Forensic Chemical Profiling of Hazardous Additives and Contaminants alongwith their harmful Effects & Source discrimination of seized Moonshine samples: A study on New Emerging Crisis in Punjab



Journal of Forensic Chemistry and Toxicology / Volume 9 Number 1/ January - June 2023



Fig. 3: Total Ion Chromatograms of Seized Samples (1-31)



Fig. 4: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using chemicals detected in seized moonshine's samples

One hundred eighty seven (187) chemical compounds were detected and identified by GC-MS technique in 31 moonshine's seized samples. These were categorised as Phytochemical compounds;

Pyrolysis byproducts; Fermentation byproducts Pesticides; Organic solvent; Flavouring substances/ Essential oils and Antioxidant compound as depicted in table 2. The composition of these



samples were found quite different from recorded country liquor as the homemade producers might had use their own traditional methods.

Among these detected 187 chemical compounds, 73 chemical compounds were found health

hazardous. The hazard statement of these 73 harmful chemicals are tabulated in table 3.

It is evident from table 3 that among 187 chemical compounds, 73 chemical compounds are

Table 3: Hazard identification of detected chemical compounds

S.N	Chemical compounds	Detected in Exhibit No.	Hazards Identification								
0.		Liamont 1 (0)			Irritant		Corrosive	Toxic	Flammable	carcinogenic	Toxic to
			Skin	Eyes	Respiratory	Digestive					Life
				<u> </u>	()	I				X	×.
1	O-Ethylhydroxylamine	1, 4 to 7, 9 to 12, 14 to 22 & 24	~	~	~	~	-	~	~	~	~
2	Methanamine N-methoxy	1, 2, 8, 15, 20, 22 to 24 & 31	~	~	~	~	-	-	-	-	-
3 to 11	Methanamine, N-hydroxy-N- methyl/ N,N- Dimethylhydroxylamine	20, 22 & 23									
	Dioxanediol/ 2,3-Dihydroxy-1,4-dioxane Myristaldehyde	1, 3 to 7, 9 to 22 & 24 2		~		-	-	-	-	-	-
	9-Tetradecenal	1 & 3	\checkmark		-						
	2-Decenal(E)-/ trans-2- Decenal	19									
	Pentadecanal	2, 8 & 20]								
	Tetradecanoic acid	2									
	Pentadecanoic acid	2]								
- 10	n-Hexadecanoic acid	29 & 30									
12 to	Furfural	1 to 24 & 30									
14	Furfuryl alcohol	30	\sim	\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	\sim	-
15	1.3-Dioxane, 2-methyl	29				_	_	_			_
16	Serinol/aminoglycerin/	23	×.	×.	•	-	-	-		`	-
10	2-amino-1,3-propanediol	23	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
17	Erythritol	1, 2 & 4 to									
t0 31	Vulital	24	1								
51	Sorbitol	13									
	Ribitol	23	1								
	p-Ethylphenol	31]								
	Methyl stearate/ Octadecanoic acid, methyl ester	2 to 4, 8 & 17									
	Glyceraldehyde	10, 15, 20, 22 to 24 &									
	<u> </u>	30	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-
	Glycerin Ethyl butanoate /Butanoic acid ethyl ester	1, 2, 4 to 24 26 & 27	-								
	Isopentyl alcohol, acetate/ Isoamyl acetate	27	1								
	9-Octadecenoic acid methyl ester (E)/ Methyl elaidate	1 to 4, 6, 8 to 14, 17, 19 to 21									
	9-Octadecenoic acid, 1,2,3- propanetriyl ester, (E,E,E)/ glycerine trioleate	4 & 5									

	Oleic acid, butyl ester	19									
	Isovaleraldehyde dibenzyl	27									
	acetal										
	Benzaldehyde propylene	27									
	glycol acetal/ 1,3-Dioxolane,										
	4-methyl-2-phenyl										
32	1-Butanol	27									
	1-Pentanol/ n-Butylcarbinol/										
to	amyl alcohol										
	Isoamvl alcohol										
36	Octadecanoic acid	1	\checkmark			\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
	Methyl Palmitoleate/ 9-	23&8									
	Hexadecanoic acid methyl	2, 5 a 0									
	ester (7)										
37t	Havadacanal	1 to 1 8 &									
571	Tlexadecallar	104,00									
0	0 Havadaganal(7)	1)									
0	9-Hexadecenal(Z)	2 & 20									
16	Hexanophenone	2									
40	Elaidic acid/ 9-octadecenoic	1&2									
	acid(E)										
	Butyl heptanoate/ Heptanoic	27									
	acid, butyl ester										
	Octanoic acid, 3-methylbutyl	27	\checkmark		\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ester/ Isoamyl caprylate										
	Dodecanoic acid, propyl	21									
	ester/ Propyl decanoate										
	Formaldehyde dimethyl	1, 2, 4 to 24									
	mercaptal S-oxide										
	Methyl tridecyl ketone	2									
	/Pentadecan-2-one										
	1.3-Dioxane, 2-methyl	25									
47	Benzaldehyde, 4-chloro	1									
.,	Dodecanoic acid	2									
&		2									•
~			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
48											
-10											
49	Dodecanal/ Lauraldehyde	28									
77	Dodecanal/ Lauraidenyde	20									
to	Acetoacetic acid, 3-thio-,	26		./							./
10	benzyl ester/ Benzyl 3-		\checkmark	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	V
51	thioxobutanoate										
51	Octanoic acid	29 to 31									
50	A	1.0.02			•		•		A		
52	Acetic acid	1 & 23				\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-
			•								
53	9-Hexadecenoic acid.(Z)/	2									
&	Palmitoleic acid										
54	Myristic acid. ethyl ester/	27				-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
	Ethyl myristate										
55	Ethyl heptanoate/ Heptanoic	27		1							
	acid, ethyl ester	_/	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark		-
	uora, ourgi 05001		 ▼	•	•	•			•	•	
56	Propyl glycolate	3				1	1				
&	9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-	3.4.5.8.10	•								
57	2.3-dihydroxynronyl ester/	13. 14. 17 &			-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-
	Glyceryl monooleate	19									
58	Methyl tetradecanoata/	2&2		<u> </u>							
to	Muristic acid mathyl actor	2005									
60	Tetradecanoic acid methyl			-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
00	aster										
	UNICI		1	I I	1	1	1	1		1	

	Isopentyl alcohol, propionate/ Isoamyl propionate	27	-								
	acid(Z)	50									
61	Palmitic acid, methyl ester/ Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	1 to 4, 8, 10 & 19	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62 & 63	13-Docosenoic acid, methyl ester/ methyl, 13- docosenoate	1, 3, 4, 7 & 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-
	butyl ester	21									
64	9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methyl ester/ Methyl linoleate	2 to 4, 8, 9 & 15	~	~	~	~	-	-	~	-	>
65 &	Dodecanoic acid, methyl ester/ Metholene 2296	27									
66	Acetic acid, phenylmethyl ester/ Benzyl ethanoate/ Benzyl acetate	19 & 27] -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~
67	Ethyl caprylate/ Octanoic acid, ethyl ester	27	~	~	-	-	-	-	~	-	-
68	Benzyl Benzoate	1	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
69	Formic acid, 2-methylpropyl ester	23	-	~	~	-	-	-	~	-	-
70	Pentadecanoic acid, 3- methylbutyl ester/ Isopentyl decanoate	27	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	Isoamyl formate	27	-	<	~	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	Octadecanoic acid, 3- hydroxypropyl ester	26	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
73	deltaCaprolactone	27	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

found potential harmful to human health. These are categorized into six categories viz. Irritant, Corrosive, Toxic, Flammable, Carcinogenic & Toxic to aquatic life and among these 73 harmful chemical compounds, 40 chemical compounds are found only irritant, 2 are only flammable, 2 are only toxic to aquatic life; 13 are irritant & flammable; 7 are irritant & toxic to aquatic life; 4 are irritant & carcinogenic; 1 is irritant & corrosive; 2 are irritant & toxic; 1 is irritant, flammable & carcinogenic; 1 is irritant, corrosive, toxic & flammable and 1 is irritant, toxic, flammable, carcinogenic & toxic to aquatic life.

As per the table, it has also been observed that the most commonly present Irritant are O-Ethylhydroxylamine; Methanamine N-methoxy; Dioxanediol; Furfural; Glycerin; Methyl elaidate & Formaldehyde dimethyl mercaptal S-oxide; most commonly corrosives chemicals are Serinol & Acetic acid and the most commonly present toxic chemicals are O-Ethylhydroxylamine; Acetic acid & Glyceryl monooleate.

Further, it is also found that among 31 samples, sample marked as 1 to 25 and 27 and 30 are highly potential health hazardous samples as these samples are containing carcinogenic chemical compounds i.e. Furfural (in sample 1 to 24 & 30); Furfuryl alcohol (in sample 30); 1,3-Dioxane, 2-methyl (in

sample 25); Ethyl heptanoate (in sample 27); BHA (in sample 29) & O-Ethylhydroxylamine (in sample 1, 4 to 7, 9 to 12, 14 to 22 & 24).

It is unequivocal that the coalesce presence of Methanol & carcinogenic chemicals (furfural, O-Ethylhydroxylamine) alongwith other harmful hazardous chemicals in sample 1 to 24 constitutes *the most* deadly chemical's combination drink and so, this make hooch lethal (toxic brew) to the vital organs.

Moreover, the presence of detected chemicals in myriad combination with statistical tools like principal component analysis as depicted in Fig. 4 are found to be advantageous in terms of interpreting the sources with adequate reliability. From these statistical analysis it is found that sample 1 to sample 24 (except sample 3) are from the same source; sample 25 to sample 27 are from same source; sample 28 & sample 29 are from same source; sample 30 & sample 31 are from different source.

CONCLUSION

Incidences of hooch tragedies have been increased in Punjab since 2020 and the main reasons observed for this tragedies are presence of hazardous



contaminants and additives besides methanol in hooch. The presence of these contaminants are mainly caused due to the ignorance of moonshiners towards public health in distillation process such as use of heads & tails in hooch; not using multiple distillation process; utilizing large containers of hazardous chemicals/organic solvents/pesticides; improper cleaning of raw materials for production of liquor and lack of facility to control the fermentation which causes oxidation of the ethyl alcohol into acetic acid. Moreover, to make brew strong and aromatic, moonshiners also add multiple harmful flavouring substances. The intake of these hazardous additives and contaminants in hooch can cause severe health problems viz. eye, nose & throat irritation; inflammation to monocytes; birth defects; allergic reactions; effect on reproduction, immune or nervous system; cancer & even death. The aforementioned health hazards in lieu of hazardous additives and contaminants besides methanol need utmost attention and stringent action by Govt. authorities/ law agencies for determining the cause of death/grievous hurt and in deciding the quantum of punishment. Further, the excise department should disposed off seized liquor laced with hazardous chemicals appropriately to protect other life as "what kills humans, can kill animal as well as aquatic life".

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Dr. Arnab Sarkar, Scientific Officer-F, Fuel Chemistry Division and Mr. Vishal Sharma, CSIR-SRF, Radiochemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), Trombay, Mumbai-400085 for their scientific cooperation in principal component analysis and its interpretation.

REFERENCES

- Empowerment MoSJa. Scheme of National Action Plan for Drug Demand Reduction. (2020). Available online at http://socialjustice.nic.in/writereaddata/ UploadFile/Napfdd_eduction_01_04_2020.pdf (accessed February 1, 2020).
- 2. https://www.indiatoday.in/india/story/ruralpunjab-high-on-illicit-liquor-from-lizard-forstronger-kick-199433-2014-07-06.
- 3. https://m.timesofindia.com/city/chandigarh/ excise-law-gets-harsher-soon-death-life-term-incase-of-hooch-casualty/articleshow/81283372.cms.
- 4. https://www.tribuneindia.com/news/punjab/ hooch-tragedy-need-to-deal-with-bootleggersfirmly-punjab-and-haryana-high-court-277923.
- 5. Solvent neurotoxicity, FD Dick; www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov
- Middha D and Negi A (2019) Forensic chemical profiling of flavouring additives in seized mu'assel (shisha) by gas chormatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Egyptian Journal of Forensic Science 9 (39), [Online]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41935-019-0146-2 (28 July 2021, date accessed).
- 7. Food safety: Pesticide residue, 16 May 2016; World Health Organization; www.who.int
- 8. A Paine et al. (2001). Defining tolerable concentration of methanol in alcoholic drinks. Hum Exp Toxicol .Nov; 20(11): 563-8.
- 9. H.Vaskova; Spectroscopic determination of Methanol content in alcoholic drinks.
- Matsukawa M et al (2017) Simplification of the Colorimetric Method to Detect Methanol contamination in the Cambodian Local Rice Liquor. IJERD – International Journal of Environmental and Rural Development 8(1). IJERD – International Journal of Environmental and Rural Development (2017) 8-1aa].
- 11. Neslihan alper et al (2012). A novel method for quantification of ethanol and methanol in distilled alcoholic beverages using Raman pectroscopy. Journal of Raman Spectroscopy.(doi 10.1002/ jrs3159).

0