# Outcome among Patients undergoing open Fistulectomy and Fistulectomy with Primary Closure for Low Level Fistula in Ano

Prasad K<sup>1</sup>, Anil Kumar Patel<sup>2</sup>, Suresh BP<sup>3</sup>

Author's Affiliation: <sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>3</sup>Professor and HOD, Department of General Surgery, Subbaih Institute of Medical Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka 577201, <sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Shri Shankaracharya Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhilai, Chattisgarh 490 020, India.

## How to cite this article:

Prasad K, Anil Kumar Patel, Suresh BP/Outcome among Patients undergoing open Fistulectomy and Fistulectomy with Primary Closure for Low Level Fistula in Ano/New Indian J Surg. 2022;13(2): 59–62.

## Abstract

**Background:** Fistula-in-Anois the most common malady and an intriguing problem of the Anorectal region in general population. The cause for the delay in treating the patients with perianal suppurations are the shy patients themselves who come to the surgeon late. The most important determinant is that a noteworthy percent of these diseases persist or even recur when the rite reliable modality of surgery is not adopted or when the post-operative care is inadequate.

*Objectives:* To compare the duration of hospital, stay after open fistulectomy & Fistulectomy with closure doneprimarily.

*Materials and Methods:* A randomized prospective study was conducted by the department of General Surgery at Tertiary Care Centrefrom November 2017 to October 2019. A total of 104 study subjects were included in the Study without bias on a serial basis. Only the patient with low anal fistulae with straight track were taken for this study. All the patients were examined clinically and by investigation for fitness of surgery.

**Corresponding Author: Anil Kumar Patel,** Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Shri Shankaracharya Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhilai, Chattisgarh 490 020, India.

E-mail: anilkashyap619@gmail.com Received on: 06.01.2022 Accepted on: 13.01.2022 *Results:* The 104 patients admitted for the study were divided into two equal and comparable groups. Patients subjected to open fistulectomy were classified under Group I and those who underwent fistulectomy with primary closure were classified as GroupII. The independent 't' test results show that there is a significant difference in mean of Age in years between the groups (t value=-3.437, P<0.001). The chi-square test shows that there is no significant difference between the groups with respect to Sex (p=0.553).

*Conclusion:* It can be concluded that the subjects who underwent open fistulectomy required longer duration of hospital stay making it less cost effective. with increased Hospital duration the charges of the hospital and further loss of working days making it more expensive procedure.

*Keywords:* Fistulectomy, Fistula in Ano, Perianal, Anal Disease

# Introduction

Fistula-in-Ano is the most common malady and an intriguing problem of the Ano-rectal region in general population. Fistula-in-Ano is mostly a preventable disease provided the perianalperirectal suppurations are treated timely and in a corrective manner. The anatomical location of the diseased part makes the patient refrain from early consultation. The common pathogenesis is the bursting open of an acute or inadequately treated ano-rectal abscess into the peri-analskin.<sup>1,2</sup>

The cause for the delay in treating the patients with perianal suppurations are the shy patients themselves who come to the surgeon late. Themost important determinant is that a noteworthy percent of these diseases persist or even recur when the rite reliable modality of surgery is not adopted or when the post-operative care is inadequate. The chronicity with its annoying symptoms like soiling of the under garments, itching, repeated abscess formation, makes an otherwise healthy and active person lose their earning capacity, with lowered selfconfidence. Open Fistulectomy, though considered as the standard treatment for fistula in ano, primary closure after fistulectomy has the benefit of short hospital stay for patients, early wound healing, lowers costs and is a safeprocedure.<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>

#### **Objectives**

To compare the duration of hospital, stay after open fistulectomy & Fistulectomy with closure doneprimarily.

## Materials and Methods

A randomized prospective study was conducted by the department of General Surgery at Tertiary Care Centrefrom November 2017 to October 2019. A total of 104 study subjects were included in the Study without bias on a serial basis.

#### Inclusion Criteria

Patients with low level fistula in ano.

#### **Exclusion** Criteria

Patients with high level fistula in ano, recurrent fistula in anoand anal fistula associated with inflammatory bowel disease. If the patients were found to have any complicating medical conditions like Diabetes mellitus, Hypertension, Ischemic heart disease and COPD, were treated for the condition first and re assessed for fitness for surgery.

## Method of Collection of Data

Patients were subjected to either open fistulectomy or fistulectomy with primary closure. All patients were given pre-operative antibiotic prophylaxis with Inj. Cefaperazone 1gmIV. Only regional (spinal) anesthesia was administered to both thecohorts.Open fistulectomy was done in 52 patients and fistulectomy with primary closure was done in restof the 52 patients. Postoperatively, InDiclofenac 75 mg IM BD was given as analgesia for48 hours to both the cohorts. Post operatively Inj. CEFAPERAZONE 1gm IV, BD was given for 48 hours to both thecohorts.

Only the patient with low anal fistulae with straight trackwere taken for this study. All the patients were examined clinically and by investigation for fitness of surgery. On the previous night patient was advised only liquid diet and kept nil orally after 10P.M. Enema was given on previous night and on the day of operation.

Post-operativeOnthe dayofoperation, I.V. fluids, analgesics (diclofenac sodium) and antibiotics (Ciprofloxacin, cefotaxium) and metronidazole weregiven. Oral liquidgivenon the evening ofoperation. Next day low residue diet given for first 2 days, afterwards regular solid diet started. The dressing or pack removed after 24 hours of operation in lay open technique. The wound wasreviewed and dressings changed. 2ndpostoperativeday.

## Results

The 104 patients admitted for the study were divided into two equal and comparable groups. Patients subjected to open fistulectomy were classified under Group I and those who underwent fistulectomy with primary closure were classified as GroupII.

The patient's characteristics of the two groups were well matched as given in the tablebelow

**Table 1:** Distribution of Baseline Characteristics between both the groups

	Group I	Group II
No. of patients	52	52
Range of age group	20-70	20-70
Male-Female ratio (M: F)	3:01	7:01

Table 2	2 Relation	between	Age in	n vears	and Group

Group	Ν	Mean	SD	t Value	P Value
Fistulectomy with Primary Closure	52	32.596	7.005	-3.437	<0.001*
Open Fistulectomy	52	38.135	9.269		

#### \*-Significant

The independent 't' test results show that there is a significant difference in mean of Age in years between the groups (t value=-3.437, P<0.001).

Table 3 Correlation between Sex and Group

		Fistulectomy with Primary Closure	Open Fi stulas omy	Total
	Count	31	28	59
Male	% within Group	59.60%	53.80%	56.70%
Sex	Count	21	24	45
Female	% within Group	40.40%	46.20%	43.30%
	Count	52	52	104
Total	% within Group	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

#### Chi-Square Value = 0.353 P value=0.553 Not Significant

The chi-square test shows that there is no significant difference between the groups with respect to Sex (p=0.553).

Table 6: Associated anal diseases in study population

Associated anal disease	No of patients	Percentage(%)
Acute fissure in ano	21	20.2
Chronic fissure in ano	4	3.8
Hemorrhoids	27	26
Nil	52	50
Total	52/104	50%

In the present study 50% of the patients had associated anal diseases along with fistula in ano. This was insignificant role in the outcome in both the treatmentgroups

The independent 't' test results shows that there is a significant difference in mean of Post Op Hospital Stay (Days) between the groups (t value=-12.758, P < 0.001).

## Discussion

104 cases of low anal fistulae, both anterior and posterior, were selected for comparative study of low fistula-in-ano which were treated by fistulectomy with primary closure and laying open technique, in each category 52 cases were studied. In this study the patients with high level fistulae with branching tracts, and multiplefistulaesecondary to tuberculosis and Crohn's disease are excluded.

In vast majority of the studies the the most common age group of presentation of fistula in ano was found to varied from 20 to 70 years of age.

			Gro		
			Fistulectomy with Primary F Closure	Open Fistulectomy	Total
		Count	12	8	20
	BD	% within Group	23.10%	15.40%	19.20%
	PD	Count	30	21	51
Discharge Type		% within Group	57.70%	40.40%	49.00%
		Count	10	23	33
	SD	% within Group	19.20%	44.20%	31.70%
		Count	52	52	104
Total		%			
		within Group	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-Square Value=7.509 P value=0.023 Significant

The chi-square test shows that there is a significant difference between the groups with respect to discharge Type ( p=0.023), but 100% of the patients had history of discharge from the external opening which was also a main presenting complains in both the groups Type.

Table 8: Relation between Post Op Hospital Stay (Days) and Group

Group	Ν	Mean SD	t Value	P Value
Fistulectomy with Primary Closure	52	8.538 2.733	- 12.758	< 0.001*
Open Fistulectomy	52	14.75 2.204		

#### \*-Significant

In the study done by Prakash Et al<sup>7</sup> the majority of them were in the age group of 21 to 40 years, Shahbaz et al<sup>8</sup> also opined that 20 to 40 years age group as the most common age group affected, Basa M et al<sup>9</sup> it was between 21 to 50 years of age. In the present study the age group varied from 20 to 70 years of age with more cases in the middle aged group of 30-50 years which is similar and comparable to other study findings.

The Fistula In ano affects both the gender and in the present study it was found to be more common among male when compared to female in the ratio of 3:1 and 7:1 in both the group 1 and group 2 respectively. In another study done by Prakash et al<sup>7</sup> and Shahbaz et al<sup>8</sup> the ratio of male to female was 4:1. in another study done by Ani et al<sup>10</sup> the ratio of male to female was 8:1.

In the present study the duration of mean hospital stay was found to be 8.53 days among the subjects who underwent Fistulectomy with primary closure and 14.750 days among those who underwent open fistulectomy and this association was also found to be statistically significant .In the study done by Prakash et al 7 the mean hospital stay was 11.7 days for open fistulectomy and 15 days in the study done by Singh et al.<sup>11</sup> Among the subjects who under fistulectomy with primary closure the mean duration of hospital stay was 3.1 days in the study done by Damor et al<sup>12</sup>, 3.2 days in Toccaceli et al<sup>13</sup>, 5.2 days in Satyaprakash et al<sup>14</sup> study, 5.3 days in Prakash et al<sup>7</sup> study.

# Conclusion

By the present study it can be concluded that the subjects who underwent open fistulectomy required longer duration of hospital stay making it less cost effective . with increased Hospital duration the charges of the hospital and further loss of working days making it more expensive procedure .

# References

- 1. 1. Goligher John, text book Surgery of the anus, rectum and Colon, Bailliere Tindall :1984, 178PP.
- 2. Toccaceli S, Minervini S et al Fistulectomy with closure by first intention in the treatment of perianal fistulaeMinervaChir. 1997 Apr; 52(4):377-81.
- Williams Peter L, Bannister Lawrence. H& et al Ed.,Gray's Anatomy, New York : Churchill Livingstone 1995, 1780 – 1782PP.

- 4. Milligan E.T.C.&Nauton Morgon.c:1933:Surgical anatomyof the anal canal;49;1150PP.
- 5. Schwartz S.I. Ed., Principles of Surgery, New York, Mc. Graw Hill Inc, 1994,1232-34PP.
- Chummy S. Sinnatamby. Last's anatomy–Regional and applied, Tenth edition, Churchill Livingstone, Pg.305-308.
- Prakash SS, Prabha JC. A comparitive study of laying open of wound vs primary closure in fistula in ano. IOSRJDMS. 2014;13(9):39-45
- 8. Shahbaz CM, Ghazanfar A, Goraya AR. Comparative study of fistulectomy and fistulotomy with primary repair for low fistula-inano. Aprjun. 2002;8(2):87-90
- 9. Basa M, Prakash K. A study on outcome of primary closure versus open fistulectomy in low level fistula in ano. Int Surg J 2020;7:1015-20
- 10. Ani AN, Solanke TF. Anal fistula: a review of 82 cases. Dis Colon Rectum. 1976;19(1):51-5.
- 11. Singh BK, Ravi KM, Vineet CH, Vansh GY, Akhilesh S. Comparative study of open and closed fistulectomy for fistula in ano. research and reviews. J Surg. 2013;2(3):77-9.
- 12. Damor S, Vohra A, Patel H, Kumar P. Comparative study between primary closure method versus open method of fistulectomy for fistula in ano. Int J Res Med. 2016; 2(1):33-7
- 13. Toccaceli S, Minervini S. Fistulectomy with closure by first intention in the treatment of perianal fistulae. Minerva Chir. 1997;52(4):377-81.
- 14. Satyaprakash, Lakshratan V, Gajendran V. Fistula in ano: treatment by fistulectomy, primary closure and reconstitution. Aus Coll Surg J. 2008;55(1);23-7.